	BARNWELL 19 9 297 Pascallas Street Blackville, SC 29817	SCHOOL DISTI	RICT
超圆	GRADES	PK-12	
And Long	ENROLLMENT	932 Students	
MM®.	SUPERINTENDENT	William A. Sandifer	803-284-2234
100	BOARD CHAIR	Willie Felder, Jr.	803-284-2766
3.56	FISCAL AUTHORITY	District Board/Referen	ndum
Mr.	THE STATE	OF SOUTH	i Carolina
	ANNUAL DISTR REPORT CAI	RD RD	2003
MV		1	
1654	ABSOLUTE RATING	ngs of Districts with Stu	AVERAGE
N	Excellent Good 0 0	•	ow Average Unsatisfactory 6 3
4	IMPROVEMENT RAT	ING:	GOOD
	ADEQUATE YEARLY	PROGRESS:	N/A
		student achievement will hieve this goal, we must	be ranked in the top half of become one of the fastest
<b>SEA</b> (2)			T WEBSITES AT:
		V.MYSCSCHOOLS. WWW.SCEOC.ORG	

### PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Below Average	Below Average	N/A
2002	Below Average	Average	N/A
2003	Average	Good	N/A
2004	•		

#### PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

# 

# **Definition of Critical Terms**

Advanced	Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations
Proficient	Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations
Basic	Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level
Below Basic	Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

TENTH GRADE PASSAGE OF ONE OR MORE SUBTESTS OF THE EXIT EXAM									
	Our District Districts with Students Like Ours								
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003			
Passed all 3 subtests	49.2	59.4	54.0	53.9	53.9	50.6			
Passed 2 subtests	21.5	15.6	22.2	18.7	19.8	20.8			
Passed 1 subtest	18.5	9.4	14.3	15.9	14.1	16.1			
Passed no subtests	10.8	15.6	9.5	11.4	12.1	12.3			

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIPS										
Percent of	Our District	Districts with Students Like Ours								
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	6.0	5.4								
Seniors who met the SAT requirement	6.0	5.4								
Seniors who met the grade point average	26.0	39.1								

<sup>\*</sup>Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

## PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP

Daniwell 19 Ochool District								019999
PACT PERFORMANCE	E BY GR	Rept Testing						/>
		, 1st ing	/,	elon Basic		Proficient of	Advanced of Profit	cientand Advanced
	/11	USU TEST	(ested /	ONBO	o Basic	oroficia	Advanta Si	cienvance
	Enro.	184 o/o	lested old	9/	op ok	0/0	100 olo bio	May Sty
			Er	iglish/Lar	nguage A			
All students	435	99.8	49.2	39.3	11.2	0.3	11.4	17.6
Gender	000	100.0	FC 0	24.0	0.1		0.1	17.6
Male	230	100.0	56.0	34.9	9.1	٥٠	9.1	17.6
emale	205	99.5	41.6	44.3	13.5	0.5	14.1	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group  White	00	00.0	39.1	47.8	13.0		12.0	17.6
Mrican-American	89	98.9				0.2	13.0	17.6 17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	341	100.0	51.1	38.0	10.6	0.3	10.9	
dispanic		0.0						17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	3	100.0						17.6
Disability Status	1	100.0						17.6
Not disabled	330	99.7	41.9	43.5	14.3	0.3	14.6	17.6
Disabled	105	100.0	73.1	25.8	1.1	0.0	1.1	17.6
Aigrant Status	103	100.0	75.1	25.0	1.1		1.1	17.0
Migrant		0.0						17.6
Non-migrant	435	99.8	49.2	39.3	11.2	0.3	11.4	17.6
nglish Proficiency	400	00.0	10.2	00.0	1112	0.0		17.0
imited English proficient		0.0						17.6
lon-limited English proficient	435	99.8	49.2	39.3	11.2	0.3	11.4	17.6
ocio-Economic Status	100			0010				
Subsidized meals	373	99.7	52.8	37.3	9.6	0.3	9.9	17.6
ull-pay meals	62	100.0	28.8	50.8	20.3		20.3	17.6
ll students	405	00.0	FO 4		matics	4.5	44.0	45.5
ender	435	99.3	52.4	36.4	9.7	1.5	11.2	15.5
lale	000	100.0	48.8	20.2	11 5	1.4	12.9	15.5
emale	230	100.0		38.3 34.2	11.5 7.6	1.4	9.2	15.5 15.5
acial/Ethnic Group	205	98.5	56.5	34.2	7.0	1.0	9.2	10.0
/hite	89	100.0	42.0	36.2	18.8	2.9	21.7	15.5
frican-American	341	99.1	55.0	35.9	7.8	1.3	9.1	15.5
sian/Pacific Islander	J+1	0.0	30.0	33.0	1.0	1.0	3.1	15.5
ispanic	3	100.0						15.5
merican Indian/Alaskan	1	100.0						15.5
isability Status		100.0						10.0
lot disabled	330	99.7	43.0	43.0	12.0	2.0	14.0	15.5
isabled	105	98.1	82.8	15.1	2.2		2.2	15.5
ligrant Status								
ligrant		0.0						15.5
on-migrant	435	99.3	52.4	36.4	9.7	1.5	11.2	15.5
nglish Proficiency								
imited English proficient		0.0						15.5
lon-limited English proficient	435	99.3	52.4	36.4	9.7	1.5	11.2	15.5
ocio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	373	99.2	56.9	34.7	7.5	0.9	8.4	15.5
Full-pay meals	62	100.0	27.1	45.8	22.0	5.1	27.1	15.5

# **Abbreviations for Missing Data**

#### PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

	/,611	, <b>6</b> ,	163	104	80 / 0/	6kg 0/0	Mar Drog
	Emolic	Paldi o	162 019	/ "		9/ 0/0	ACT 0/0 Prof
			English	n/Langua	ge Arts		
Grade 3	71		45.1	35.2	19.7		19.7
Grade 4	71		55.7	31.4	12.9		12.9
Grade 5	55		38.2	52.7	9.1		9.1
Grade 5 Grade 6	85		58.3	29.8	11.9		11.9
Grade 7	79		42.3	47.4	10.3		10.3
Grade 8	80		50.6	38.0	11.4		11.4
▲ Grade 3	54	100.0	44.7	34.0	19.1	2.1	21.3
Grade 4	77	100.0	53.4	32.9	13.7		13.7
g Grade 5	73	100.0	57.1	36.5	6.3		6.3
Grade 5 Grade 6	59	100.0	43.4	41.5	15.1		15.1
Grade 7	97	99.0	55.7	36.4	8.0		8.0
Grade 8	75	100.0	37 1	54.3	8.6		8.6

			Ma	athematio	S		
▲ Grade 3	71		59.2	21.1	14.1	5.6	19.7
Grade 4	71		57.1	28.6	11.4	2.9	14.3
Grade 5	55		65.5	30.9	3.6		3.6
	85		63.1	28.6	8.3		8.3
Grade 7	79		69.6	21.5	7.6	1.3	8.9
Grade 8	80		64.6	27.8	7.6		7.6
▲ Grade 3	54	100.0	21.3	61.7	12.8	4.3	17.0
Grade 4	77	100.0	50.7	41.1	8.2		8.2
Grade 5	73	98.6	66.7	27.0	6.3		6.3
S Grade 6	59	96.6	36.5	46.2	13.5	3.8	17.3
Grade 7	97	100.0	61.4	26.1	10.2	2.3	12.5
Grade 8	75	100.0	62.9	28.6	8.6		8.6

## STATE PERFORMANCE ON NATIONAL TESTS

Terra Nova: a national, norm-referenced achievement test.

		Percentage of students scoring in the upper half, 2002									
	Rea	Reading Language Math Total									
Grade	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation			
3	49.2	50.0	51.5	50.0	58.2	50.0	54.8	50.0			
6	57.6	50.0	49.0	50.0	51.2	50.0	51.4	50.0			
9*	56.1	50.0	46.8	50.0	51.6	50.0	51.2	50.0			

<sup>\*</sup> Grade 9 estimates were based on a sample that may not be representative of the entire 9th grade population.

National Assessment of Educational Progress: a national, criterion-referenced achievement test.

				Percent of students scoring							
			Adva	anced	Prof	icient	Basic		<b>Below Basic</b>		
Test	Grade	Year	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation	
Reading	8	2002	1	3	23	30	44	43	32	25	
Writing	4	2002	1	2	16	26	65	58	18	14	
Mathematics	8	2000	2	5	15	22	37	38	45	34	

# PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS

	Exit Exa Rate by S	m Passage Spring 2003	Eligibility for LIFE Scholarships*		Graduat	ion Rate
	n	%	n	%	n	%
All Students	54	96.3%	50	6.0%	62	82.3%
Gender						
Male	24	95.8%	22	0.0%	32	68.8%
Female	30	96.7%	28	10.7%	30	96.7%
Race or Ethnic Group						
African American	36	94.4%	35	5.7%	43	81.4%
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
White	18	100.0%	15	6.7%	19	84.2%
Other	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Disability Status						
Non-speech disabilities	N/A	N/A	4	I/S	7	57.1%
Students without disabilities	54	96.3%	46	6.5%	0	85.5%
Migrant Status						
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Non-migrant	54	96.3%	50	6.0%	0	N/A
English Proficiency						
Limited English proficient	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Non-LEP	54	96.3%	50	6.0%	62	82.3%
Lunch Status						
Subsidized meals	36	97.2%	36	2.8%	45	80.0%
Full-pay meals	18	94.4%	14	14.3%	17	88.2%

<sup>\*</sup> Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

# 2002-2003 College Admissions Tests

SAT	Verbal		Ma	ath	Total		
	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	
District	456	427	453	466	909	893	
State	488	493	493	496	981	989	
Nation	504	507	516	519	1020	1026	

ACT	Eng	lish	Ma	ıth	Rea	ding	Scie	nce	To	tal
	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003
District	15.2	14.7	16.1	15.9	15.0	14.4	15.8	15.7	15.6	15.1
State	18.8	18.7	19.1	19.0	19.3	19.4	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2
Nation	20.2	20.3	20.6	20.6	21.1	21.2	20.8	20.8	20.8	20.8

# SCHOOLS IN "SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT STATUS"

2002	2003
LUUL	2000

Macedonia Elementary Yes

es Yes

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

Fitudents (n= 932) First graders who attended full-day kindergarten Retention rate Attendance rate Aeeting grade 1 & 2 readiness standards	N/A 8.1% 94.3% N/A 8.1%	N/A  Down from 13.6%  Down from 95.1%  N/A	N/A 5.8%	N/A
Kindergarten Retention rate Attendance rate Meeting grade 1 & 2 readiness standards Eligible for gifted and talented	8.1% 94.3% N/A	Down from 13.6% Down from 95.1%	5.8%	
Attendance rate Aeeting grade 1 & 2 readiness standards Eligible for gifted and talented	94.3% N/A	Down from 95.1%		4 00/
Neeting grade 1 & 2 readiness standards	N/A		05.40/	4.0%
standards Eligible for gifted and talented		N/A	95.1%	95.4%
	8.1%		N/A	N/A
In acadamia plana		Down from 8.8%	6.1%	10.7%
On academic plans	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Vith disabilities other than speech	13.0%	Up from 12.7%	10.5%	10.6%
Older than usual for grade	7.2%	Down from 8.8%	6.8%	5.5%
Suspended or expelled	3.9%	Down from 4.6%	1.6%	1.6%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	3.6%	N/A	N/A	10.0%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Enrolled in adult education GED or		Up from 7	187	186
diploma programs  Completions in adult education GEB		Up from 10	27	40
or diploma programs	D 13	op nom 10	21	40
eachers (n= 72)				
eachers with advanced degrees	34.7%	Down from 38.5%	43.5%	47.8%
Continuing contract teachers	73.6%	Down from 79.5%	80.1%	82.8%
lighly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
eachers returning from previous	ear 82.4%	Down from 82.7%	86.3%	89.5%
eacher attendance rate	94.0%	Down from 94.8%	94.4%	95.1%
verage teacher salary	\$34,862	Down 2.4%	\$38,290	\$39,707
Prof. development days/teacher	10.5 days	Down from 14.1 days	12.6 days	11.3 days
District				
Superintendent's years at district	4.0	Up from 3.0	2.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	19.0 to 1	Up from 18.3 to 1	19.8 to 1	20.6 to 1
Prime instructional time	87.0%	Down from 88.1%	87.5%	89.0%
Oollars spent per pupil*	\$8,549	Up 2.7%	\$8,549	\$7,412
Percent spent on teacher salaries*	53.7%	Up from 50.2%	53.9%	56.0%
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent	Up from Fair	Good	Excellen
Parents attending conferences	66.7%	Down from 81.0%	92.1%	96.1%
lumber of schools	3	No change	5	8
lumber of magnet schools	0	No change	0	(
Number of charter schools	0	No change	0	(
Portable classrooms	0.0%	Down from 1.3%	4.9%	3.5%
Average age in years of school fac	ility 26	N/A	36	3.5%
lumber of schools with SACS accreditation	2	N/A	4	8
Prior year audited financial data are reported		Our Dis	rict Sta	ie
lighly qualified teachers in low po	verty schools	N/A		
lighly qualified teachers in high po	verty schools	N/A	N//	A

#### SCHOOL DISTRICT GOVERNANCE

#### **Board Membership**

5 trustees elected to at-large seats

Fiscal Authority

District Board/Referendum

Average Number of Hours of Training Annually 25.0 per board member

Percent new trustees completing orientation 100.0%

#### DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT'S REPORT

The issuance of school report cards has been in effect for over two years. Our schools have made great progress toward the goals set by the Education Oversight Committee. These improvements are due to the hard work of our administrators, teachers, staff, and students. Our school board members, parents, and community patrons have supported each effort to provide the best education possible for all of our children. All of these are necessary for our children's total educational development. No one entity stands alone. We must continue to work together as we strive for excellence.

The teacher specialists at Macedonia Elementary and the curriculum specialist at Blackville-Hilda High School came to our district with a sense of purpose and brought many new ideas and teaching strategies. They quickly became part of our team. We appreciate their hard work and know that their labors will not be in vain.

Education in general has really taken a hit with all the state budget cuts over the past three years. All of the state mandates and requirements are in full force. The finances to improve the quality of education and provide the necessary supplies and materials have not been available because of cuts in our funding. Yet, we have survived and will continue to do the best with what we have. We are doing more and more with less and less.

Our philosophy at Blackville-Hilda Public Schools is one of total development, not just academics. Let's continue to look beyond just a test score. A lot of positive things are happening in our schools.

I ask for the continued parental support and involvement. You will be invited to attend study circles, parent support groups, and other community-oriented programs. Always, please remember to support our P.T.A. groups, monitoring programs, and volunteer activities. We also appreciate the support of our churches and businesses.

Thank you, school board members, school staff, parents, students, and community patrons for all your efforts on behalf of our children.

If I can ever do anything to help you in any way, please feel free to call on me.

William A. Sandifer, Superintendent

#### DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
   Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the
- 2010 SC Performance Goal

   Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal